

Neponset CIC Project

Non- Storm Water (SW) Bylaw Review

- Review MAPC LID non SW Bylaw questionnaire
 - Zoning/Site Plan/Subdivision/Wetlands Regulations Focus
 - Initial review by NepRWA :
 - Canton
 - Dedham
 - Milton
 - Sharon
 - Stoughton
 - Westwood
- MAPC review:
- Medfield
 - Norwood
 - Randolph
 - Walpole
- Representative questions chosen from questionnaire
 - Yes/ No and NA percent
 - Still some gaps to fill (TBD)

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning

1. Allow SW BMP's in setback areas and buffer strips?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning

1. Allow SW BMP's in setback areas and buffer strips?

– Y: 80% N:20%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning

1. Allow SW BMP's in setback areas and buffer strips?
– Y: 80% N:20%
2. Minimize house setback requirements?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning

1. Allow SW BMP's in setback areas and buffer strips?

– Y: 80% N:20%

2. Minimize house setback requirements?

– Y: 50% N:30% NA: 20% (small lots only used)

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning

1. Allow SW BMP's in setback areas and buffer strips?
 - Y: 80% N:20%
2. Minimize house setback requirements?
 - Y: 50% N:30% NA: 20% (small lots only used)
3. Limits on tree clearance; at least lg trees/forest stands ?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning

1. Allow SW BMP's in setback areas and buffer strips?
– Y: 80% N:20%
2. Minimize house setback requirements?
– Y: 50% N:30% NA: 20% (small lots only used)
3. Limits on tree clearance; at least lg. trees/forest stands ?
– Y: 30% N: 70%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?

- Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?
 - Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)
5. Allow LID (bioretention/swales/filter strips) on land held in common ?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?
- Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)
5. Allow LID (bioretention/swales/filter strips) on land held in common ?
- Y: 90% N: 10%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?
 - Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)
5. Allow LID (bioretention/swales/filter strips) on land held in common ?
 - Y: 90% N: 10%
6. Don't require > 3 off-St parking spaces per 1000 s.f. of floor space in professional buildings?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?
 - Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)
5. Allow LID (bioretention/swales/filter strips) on land held in common ?
 - Y: 90% N: 10%
6. Don't require > 3 off-St parking spaces per 1000 s.f. of floor space in professional buildings?
 - Y: 60% N: 20% NA: 10% (all res. parking) TBD: 10%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?
 - Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)
5. Allow LID (bioretention/swales/filter strips) on land held in common ?
 - Y: 90% N: 10%
6. Don't require > 3 off-St parking spaces per 1000 s.f. of floor space in professional buildings?
 - Y: 60% N: 20% NA: 10% (all res. parking) TBD: 10%
7. Don't require > 2 off-St parking spaces per single family home?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Zoning/Parking

4. Open space/cluster development "by right" ?
 - Y: 20% N:70% NA: 10% (small lots and setbacks used instead of OSRD)
5. Allow LID (bioretention/swales/filter strips) on land held in common ?
 - Y: 90% N: 10%
6. Don't require > 3 off-St parking spaces per 1000 s.f. of floor space in professional buildings?
 - Y: 60% N: 20% NA: 10% (all res. parking) TBD: 10%
7. Don't require > 2 off-St parking spaces per single family home?
 - Y: 70% N: 20% TBD: 10%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Subdivisions

1. Permit min. 1-22 ft paved widths on low traffic residential streets?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Subdivisions

1. Permit min. 1-22 ft paved widths on low traffic residential streets?

– Y:30% N:60% TBD: 10%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Subdivisions

1. Permit min. 1-22 ft paved widths on low traffic residential streets?
 - Y:30% N:60% TBD: 10%
2. Permit sidewalks on 1 side of street in low density residential neighborhoods?

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Subdivisions

1. Permit min. 1-22 ft paved widths on low traffic residential streets?

– Y:30% N:60% TBD: 10%

2. Permit sidewalks on 1 side of street in low density residential neighborhoods?

– Y: 40% N: 50% TBD: 10%

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Subdivisions

1. Permit min. 1-22 ft paved widths on low traffic residential streets?
 - Y:30% N:60% TBD: 10%
2. Permit sidewalks on 1 side of street in low density residential neighborhoods?
 - Y: 40% N: 50% TBD: 10%
3. Minimize radii for cul-de-sacs? (35 ft optimal if adequate for emergencies)

Non- SW Bylaw Questionnaire

Subdivisions

1. Permit min. 1-22 ft paved widths on low traffic residential streets?
 - Y:30% N:60% TBD: 10%
2. Permit sidewalks on 1 side of street in low density residential neighborhoods?
 - Y: 40% N: 50% TBD: 10%
3. Minimize radii for cul-de-sacs? (35 ft optimal if adequate for emergencies)
 - Y: 20% N:60 % TBD: 20%